

MICROSOFT PROGRAMS

LEVEL: B+

Are Microsoft Programs Necessary for Schools?

In many schools around the world, Microsoft Word and PowerPoint are still seen as the standard tools for learning. They are powerful, well-designed programs, and they are widely used in offices and companies. However, when we look closely at how schools actually work, an important question appears: are these programs really necessary for education?

In everyday school life, students and teachers use computers for simple tasks. Students write essays, homework assignments, short reports, and sometimes longer papers. They also prepare basic presentations for class projects. Teachers create worksheets, tests, lesson plans, and presentations. In most cases, they do not use advanced tools such as macros, complex formatting systems, animations, or professional templates. They mainly need software that is easy to use, reliable, and accessible.

Because of this, Microsoft Word and PowerPoint can be considered overkill for schools. Overkill means something is more powerful or complex than necessary. These programs include many professional features that are designed for corporate environments, not for classrooms. Most students never learn or need these advanced functions, yet schools still pay for expensive licenses.

There are strong and realistic alternatives. LibreOffice, for example, is a free and open-source office suite. It includes Writer, Impress, and Calc, which are equivalents of Word, PowerPoint, and Excel. LibreOffice can easily handle essays, homework, tables, and presentations. Many university students, including PhD candidates, have written full academic papers using LibreOffice without any problems. This clearly shows that it is more than good enough for school use.

Another popular option is Google Docs and Google Slides. These tools are widely used in schools and universities in the United States and many other countries. They work directly in a web browser, which means students do not need powerful computers or complex installations. Google tools are especially useful for teamwork. Students can work on the same document at the same time, leave comments, and save their work automatically. For schools with limited resources, this is a big advantage.





MICROSOFT PROGRAMS

LEVEL: B+

One important goal of education is digital literacy. Digital literacy does not mean learning how to use one specific brand of software. It means understanding how to write clearly, organize information, create presentations, and work with digital tools in general. If students learn only Microsoft programs, they may believe that these tools are the only correct choice. In reality, the modern world uses many different platforms, including open-source and cloud-based solutions.

Another problem is cost. Microsoft licenses are expensive, especially for public schools with limited budgets. That money could be used for better internet connections, newer computers, teacher training, or digital learning materials. Free alternatives allow schools to spend money on things that directly improve education instead of software licenses.

There is also the issue of dependency. When schools rely on one company's software, they become dependent on that company's prices, policies, and updates. Open-source and free tools reduce this dependency and give schools more control over their systems and data.

This does not mean that Microsoft programs are bad. In many private companies and professional environments, Word and PowerPoint are excellent tools. But schools have different needs. Education should focus on learning, thinking, and creativity, not on mastering complex software that students may never use later.

In conclusion, Microsoft Word and PowerPoint are not necessary for schools. They are powerful tools, but they offer far more features than schools actually need. LibreOffice and Google Docs provide everything required for writing, presentations, and basic digital skills. For education, simplicity, accessibility, and sustainability are more important than brand names. Schools should teach students how to think and communicate, not how to depend on one specific software company.

